

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/662,668 09/15/2000		09/15/2000	Kia Silverbrook	IR22US	1572		
24011	7590	06/30/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
•		ESEARCH PTY L	HERNANDEZ	HERNANDEZ, NELSON D			
393 DARLI BALMAIN		EET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
AUSTRAL	•			2612	2		
				DATE MAILED: 06/30/200	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/662,66	68	SILVERBROOK, KIA			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	•	Art Unit			
		Nelson D.	Hernandez	2612			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ldress		
A SHOP THE MA - Extension after SI - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure to Any repi	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION on sof time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication rid for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a circle for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sily received by the Office later than three months after the nepatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no evo n. a reply within the state eriod will apply and w tatute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a)□ T 3)□ S	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 1 his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 2 ince this application is in condition for allowed in accordance with the practice und	This action is nowance except	on-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Disposition	n of Claims						
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application) Of the above claim(s) is/are with laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. laim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from co					
Application	n Papers						
10)⊠ Th A R	ne specification is objected to by the Example drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2000 pplicant may not request that any objection to eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the content or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ a the drawing(s) b rrection is requir	ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ■ All b) ■ Some * c) ■ None of:  1. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/113,086.  3. ■ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2)  Notice of 3)  Information	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE to(s)/Mail Date	) 3/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/662,668

Art Unit: 2612

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Double Patenting**

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- Claims 1 and 2 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming
  the same invention as that of claim 1 of copending Application No. 09/663476.
   This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have
  not in fact been patented.
- 3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/662,668

Art Unit: 2612

4. Claim 3 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 3 of copending Application No. 09/663,476. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 3 in '476 refers to a casing being of a recyclable material and claim 3 in '668 refers to a casing that is recyclable. It is well known that a recyclable casing can be made with a recyclable material.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nelson D. Hernandez whose telephone number is (703) 305-8717. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy R. Garber can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/662,668

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nelson D. Hernandez Examiner Art Unit 2612

NDHH June 22, 2004

> WENDY R. GARBER WENDY R. GARBER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY CENTER 2600